

MINUTES OF THE 20th S.L.C. MEETING HELD ON 06/09/2018 AT 2.30 P.M. IN THE CONFERENCE HALL OF COMMISSIONERATE OF INDUSTRIES, 1st FLOOR, GOVERNMENT OF PRINTING PRESS BUILDINGS, MUTYALAMPADU, VIJAYAWADA.

The list of the Members attended the Meeting.

Sl. No	Members	Name & Designation of the Member / Representative Sri / Smt.
1	Chairman, State Level Committee	Siddharth Jain, IAS., Chairman of SLC & Commissioner of Industries.
2	The Commissioner, Commerical Taxes, AP.	K. Radhakrishna Ra, Additional Commissioner.
3	The Chief Commissioner, Land Administration.	J. Siva Srinivas, Asst. Secretary.
4	The President, FAPCCI.	T. Sujatha, Joint Director.
5	The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department	V. Sasikala, DGM, S.T. Corporation.
6	The Commissioner & Inspector General, Registration Stamps Department.	P. Ramalaxmi Patnaik, Asst. Inspector General
7	The Member Convener, APPCB	R. Sreeramlu, SEE
8	Nominee of Syndicate Bank	C. Pulla Reddy, AGM
9	The Convenor, S.L.B.C. Andhra Bank.	N. Venugopal, AGM
10	The Chairman, C.I.I.	Y.V. Krishna Mohan, Convenor APMSME.
11	The Chairman, AP Spinning Mill Association.	L. Raghurami Reddy, Vice Chairman
12	The President, FAPSIA	EP Purna Chandra Rao, Vice President.
13	The President, FSME	APK Reddy, President
14	The Managing Director, APIIC	M. Venkateswara Rao, General Manager.
15	Member – Convenor, State Level Committee	K.Vijaya Ratnam, Convenor of SLC / Joint Director of Industries (II).

The Chairperson of the SLC welcomed the participated

members and the special invitees of the Committee. The SLC reviewed and discussed the proposals of Large and Mega projects placed in the SLC on case to case basis, Item wise the agenda and decisions of the State Level Committee are as follows::

Item No	Brief Description of the issue	Decision of the Committee	
	Follow up action on the minutes of the previous Meeting held on 20/03/2018.	Noted.	
	Brief Description of the issue	SVC Opinion	Decision of the committee

1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal for Change of Management and Name & Style • Of M/s Sriam Labs Pvt. Ltd to M/s Laurus Labs Limited • A Large Enterprise (P & M/c. - Rs.31.85 crs) • Line of activity - Bulk Drugs and intermediates. • DCP :: 07/06/2017. • The unit has not availed any incentives under IDP 2015-20. • The GM,DIC, Visakhapatnam District has recommended the proposals. • As per IDP 2015-20, "the Industrial Enterprise that availed incentives/concessions should obtain the No Objection Certificate (NOC) from the financing institutions concerned in respect of aided Enterprise/industry and Certificate of incorporation from Registrar of Companies (ROC) within the first six (6) years for MSEs and eight (8) for Medium and Large Industries from the DCP, before seeking the approval of SLC for any change of the constitution/Management/name & style of the Industrial Enterprise." • SVC may take a view. 	<p>The SVC discussed the issue in detail and opined that the industry may be accorded permission for change of management & unit's name from M/s Sriam Labs Pvt. Ltd to M/s Laurus Labs Limited, Subject to executing the agreement bond to the satisfaction of the Commercial Tax Department regarding dues, by both the managements.</p>	<p>The SLC discussed the issue in detail and agreed the opinion of the SVC.</p>
---	---	---	--

2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal for Change of name without change in Management • From M/s. Rayalaseema Alkalies and Allied Chemicals Limited to M/s. TGV SRAAC Limited • Recommended by the GM,DIC, Kurnool • As per para No.11 of the operational guidelines under IDP 2015-20, "the Industrial Enterprise that availed incentives/concessions should obtain the No Objection Certificate (NOC) from the financing institutions concerned in respect of aided Enterprise/industry and Certificate of incorporation from Registrar of Companies (ROC) within the first six (6) years for MSEs and eight (8) for Medium and Large Industries from the DCP, before seeking the approval of SLC for any change of the constitution/Management/name & style of the Industrial Enterprise." • The SLC may take a view. 	<p>The SVC discussed the issue in detail and opined that the industry may be accorded permission for change of name from M/s Sree Rayalaseema Alkalies and allied Chemicals Ltd. to M/s. TGV SRAAC Limited without change of management.</p>	<p>The SLC discussed the issue in detail and accorded permission for change of unit's name.</p>
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M/s. Isuzu Motors Pvt Ltd., Chittoor district • DCP :: 01.04.2016 • Line of activity :: Mfg. of Motor vehicles. • The GM,DIC has recommended the claim application 	<p>The SVC examined the issue in detail and opined that unit may be sanctioned reimbursement of work contract tax to a tune of Rs.5,51,65,776/-, Subject to provide Industry sh</p>	<p>The SLC discussed the issue in detail and referred back to the opinion of SVC for ascertaining the reasons for not complying of 80% of employment belonging to AP, the condition of pre requisite for availing tax incentives, as per the</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For sanction of reimbursement of work contract tax for an amount of Rs.5,51,65,776/-. • Unit providing employment to 823 No. • Only 365 (i.e.44.35%) belongs to AP. • Name wise details are furnished. • As per GO Ms No:116, Inds& Com(IP) Dept., dt: 18.10.13, "100% Net VAT & CST incentive for a period of 30 years or 135% cap of cumulative investment, upto the date on which 7 years have elapsed from the date execution of the Memorandum of Understanding date of obtaining clearances to start construction whichever is earlier. This includes VAT on works Contract Tax for a period of 5 years. The Commercial Tax Dept. to provide the quarterly Tax payment details of the company in Form A to the Industries Department for making necessary recommendations" • The SLC may take a view. 	<p>all ultimately provide at least 80% of employment to the candidates belonging to AP as per the GO Ms.No. 116 dated 18.10.2013 of Industries & Commerce (IP) department.</p>	<p>GO Ms.No. 116 Industries & Commerce (IP) department, dated 18.10.2013.</p>
---	---	---

<p>4 The GM,DIC, Visakhapatnam District has recommended for the claims of following units, pertaining to IIPP 2010-15 policy for rejection as the units have not furnishing of short fall information/ documents etc. since long time.</p>	<p>The SVC discussed the issue and opined that (1) claim may be rejected and a final Notice may be issued to th</p>	<p>The SLC discussed the issues in detail and approved for rejecting the proposal of 1. M/s Biomax Futures Limited, 2. M/s Hetero Dru</p>
--	---	---

Sl No	Name & Address of the Unit	Me ese va No.	Nature of Inc entives	Reason for rejection
1	M/s StyraX Pharma Pvt . Ltd., Plot No.27B, JN PC, Parawada, Visakhapatnam District	C1011600046351	Invest ment S ubsidy	The claim is not eligible as it is a Large Scale Industry. Hence, the issue is placing before the SVC
2	M/s Biomax Fules Limited, Plot No.S&T, VSEZ, Duvvada, Gajuwaka(M). Visakhapatnam District	C101150033352	Power Cost	Not furnished the shortfalls even after laps of 3 months.

the other (3) industries from the Commissionerate of Industries before rejecting the claim proposals

GM,DIC, Visakhapatnam informed vide letter No.5419/A4/2013,Dt:05.09.2018 that SI No. 4 has submitted short fall documents, inspection completed and report is being submitted.

SI No. 1 short fall documents submitted and under process.

gs Limited,(Unit-IX),

Further, SVC is requested to analyze the short fall documents called by the GM, DIC and the reasons for requesting the SLC not to reject the proposals in his letter dated 05.09.2018.

<p>3</p>	<p>M/s Hetero Drugs Limited,(Unit-IX), Plot No.1001, Hetero Infrastructures SEZ Limited, N. Narasapuram (V), Nakkapalli (M), Visakhapatnam District</p>	<p>C1011500013400</p>	<p>Power Cost</p>	<p>Not furnished the shortfalls even after laps of 3 months.</p>		
<p>4</p>	<p>M/s Mylan Laboratories Limited, Unit-10, Plot No.86, SEZ, JNPC, Parawada, Visakhapatnam</p>		<p>Power Cost</p>	<p>Not furnished the shortfalls even after laps of 4 months.</p>		
<p>5</p>	<p>Request of the Enterprise</p>		<p>Enterprise</p>	<p>M/s. Immanuel Transport, Rani Nagar, Anantapuram</p>	<p>The SVC discussed in detail and opined that the supplier has confirmed the difference amount as discount in the mode of goodwill relationship and as the entrepreneur has commenced venture, the DIPC may be advised to sanction the incentives as per the</p>	<p>The SLC observed that it is a case of appeal received in accordance to the AP-PS DGA - 2017 dated 04.01.2018. The issue was discussed in detail and advised the DIPC, Anantapur district to sanction the incentives as per the amount indicated in the tax receipts furnished to the Transport department and to dispose off all</p>

Promoter	Smt .G.Padmavathi	<p>amount indicated in the tax receipts furnished to the Transport department.</p> <p>such cases in similar manner.</p> <p>Further it is opined that all such cases may be disposed off in the similar manner.</p>
Activity	Transport	
Price of the vehicle	<p>Rs.15,03,000/- (as per the invoice no .VS/00917 dt.31.03.2017 ,</p> <p>Rs.14,98,868/- (tax receipt no.NETO 13685695 of Transport dept.)</p>	
DIPC decision dt. 31.01.2018	<p>reject the proposal for sanction of Investment Subsidy as there is a difference in the amounts in the Tax receipt with a direction to initiate action on the persons who are responsible for duplication of the Invoice and Tax receipt.</p>	

<p>Dealer clarification - M/s.HITECH Motors, Tirupati</p>	<p>RT/R Amount :: Rs. 4,520/- [Tax (820)+ HPA fee (3000) + TR fee (500) + TR service charges (100)] dealer has considered the difference amount of Rs.388/- as an additional discount for goodwill relationship</p>		
<p>Request</p>	<p>To sanction the Investment Subsidy as per the lesser value indicated in the Tax receipt.</p>		

6	<p>The GM,DICs of East Godavari, Krishna, SPSR Nellore and Srikakulam districts have recommended the following proposals for sanction of Financial Assistance under IIDF.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M/s. Veerabhadra Exports Private Limited, Sy No.116, Gurajanapalli (V) Karapa Mandal East Godavari District • M/s.Fedora Sea Foods Private Limited, NH-16 (AH \$%) Kovvur (V&M) SPSR Nellore District • M/s.Satyavathi Biolife Sciences Limited, Adaviravulapadu (V) Nandigama (M) Krishna District • M/s.BMR Industries Private Limited, Damavaram (V) Dagadarthi (M) SPSR Nellore District • M/s.Teja Bio Fuels Private Limited, West Godavari district • M/s.Coastal Acqua, East Godavari district • M/s.VKT Pharma Private Limited, Srikakulam district • M/s.Anjani Tiles Limited, SPSR Nellore district • M/s.Nekkanti Sea Foods Limited, East Godavari district. <p>The proposals are sent along with required documents. But as per the new policy the MSMEs promoted by SC/STs/BCs and the units that granted special Gos are only eligible to avail Financial Assistance under IIDF.</p> <p>Hence the SLC may take a view.</p>	<p>The SVC examined the orders issued vide G.O.Ms. No.36 Inds. & Commerce (IP & INF) Dept. dated: 29/04/2015 and operational guidelines vide G.O.Ms. No.108 Industries & Commerce (P&I) Department, dated: 14/11/2015 regarding IDP 2015-20 and opined to reject the 9 claim proposals for sanction of Financial Assistant under IIDF, since there is no provision for sanction the same under IDP 2015-20.</p>	<p>The SLC decided that the applications are not eligible for sanction of Financial Assistance under IDP 2015-20.</p>
---	--	---	--

7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposals of M/s. Gamesa Renewable India Pvt Ltd., IFFCO Kisan SEZ, Regadichelika(V), Kodavallur(Mandal) SPSR Nellore District For change of name as M/s. Siemens Gamesa Renewable Power Private Limited. It is a self financed industry and recommended by the GM,DIC. SPSR Nellore. The documents - (i) Format-1(A), (ii) Board of resolution, (iii) Pan card, (iv) Certificate of Incorporation copy of the company , (v) OC from bank and (vi) Power release certificate from SPDCL are furnished. As per IDP 2015-20., the Enterprise that availed incentives should obtain the NOC from the FIs concerned in respect of aided Enterprise/industry and Certificate of incorporation from Registrar of Companies (ROC) within the first six (6) years for MSEs and eight (8) for Medium and Large Industries from the DCP, before seeking the approval of SLC for any change of the constitution/Management/name & style of the Industrial Enterprise. The SLC may take a view 	<p>The SVC examined the issue and opined that permission may be accorded for change of unit's name from M/s.Gamesa Renewable India Pvt Ltd. to M/s. Siemens Gamesa Renewable Power Private Limited without any change in Management.</p>	<p>The SLC discussed the issue in detail and accorded permission for change of unit's name without any change in Management.</p>
---	--	---	--

8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal of M/s Surya Roshini Limited, Hindupur, Ananthapuram • Investment Rs.37.46 Crs, Large Enterprise • Line of activity :: GALVANIZED AND BLACK STEEL PIPES, Emp. :: 346 • DCP :: 01/03/2017 • Date of filing :: 12/09/2017 through online and on 14/09/2017 by manually in DIC • Filed after lapse of 6 months from the DCP • The GM,DIC, Ananthapuram District has informed that the Company has represented to accept claim application as received within time and sanction 100% subsidy. • The issue was placed before the DIPC in its meeting held on 20/11/2017 for discussion and necessary orders, as a special case. The District Collector & Chairperson of DIPC had reviewed the Agenda with the Members of DIPC and approved for consider the claims as within time. • Hence, the SLC may take a view. 	<p>The SVC examined the issue and opined that the SLC may take a view, since it is a policy issue.</p>	<p>The SLC discussed the issue in detail and decided to consider the proposal and sanction 50% of eligible incentives as per the operational guidelines of IDP 2015-20, since it is belated claim.</p>
---	---	--	---

<p>9</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposals of M/s. Uma Spintex India Private Limited (Original), RS No.523 to 526, Tamirisa (V), Nandivada (M) Krishna District • For sanction of for reimbursement of Pavala Vaddi for the period of 1st HY of 2015-16, mortgage duty and power cost for 1st and 2nd HY of 2015-16. • On verification it is noticed that all the claims are already sanctioned and it had files twice. • The GM,DIC, Krishna has recommended for rejection as they are duplicate. • The SLC may take a view. 	<p>The SVC examined the issue and recommended for rejection of the claim proposals submitted in Meeseva vide No. CI01150034820, CI011500034667, CI01150004590034670, since they are repeated claims</p>	<p>The SLC examined the issue and agreed for rejection of the claim proposals received through Meeseva vide No. CI011500034820, CI011500034667, CI01150004590034670, since they are repeated claims.</p>
----------	---	---	---

<p>1 0</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M/s Spads Polyplast Products Private Limited, Jaggaiahpet, Krishna District • Engaged in manufacturing of PP Woven sacks and caters • Filed application for reimbursement of stamp duty and got sanctioned • But they couldn't file claims for reimbursement of Land Conversion & Mortgage Duty as there was no provision for applying through online. • Hence, they have submitted the claims manually in the Office of the GM,DIC, Krishna District and requested to consider their claims for sanction of the same. <p style="text-align: right;">The SLC may take a view.</p>	<p>The SVC examined the issue and opined that the decision may be taken at SLC level, since it is policy issue.</p>	<p>The SLC examined the issue and advised the GM, DIC, Krishna District to process the claims for sanction of incentives, if they have uploaded the required documents for Land conversion and Mortgage Duty along with the Stamp duty claim which was sanctioned earlier.</p>
----------------	---	---	--

1 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal of M/s Louis Dreyfus Commodities India (P) Ltd., Muthukur (M) SPSR Nellore • For change of the name and management as M/s Louis Dreyfus Company India Pvt. Ltd. • Line of activity :: Refining of edible Oils • DCP :: 12/09/2012 • Investment :: Rs.136.52 Crs. • Employment :: 75 • The GM,DIC, Nellore has recommended along with required documents. • As per IIPP 2005-10, the Industrial units that availed incentives/ concessions should obtain the No Objection Certificate from the concerned financing institutions in respect of aided units before seeking the approval of Commissioner of Industries for any change of the constitution/Management Name & Style of the unit within the first six (6) years from the date of Commencement of Commercial Production. • Hence, the SLC may take a view. 	<p>The SVC examined the issue in detailed and opined that the permission may be accorded for change of Management along with unit's of Name from M/s. Louis Dreyfus Commodities India (P) Ltd. to M/s. Louis Dreyfus Company India (P) Ltd., subject to executing the agreement bond to the satisfaction of the Commercial Tax Department by both the Managements.</p>	<p>SLC discussed the issue in detail and agreed the opinion of the SVC.</p>
1 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The GM,DIC, SPSR Nellore District has recommended the proposal • M/s. Pinnae Feeds Ltd., S.No:555/2556/2, 557&558, Bogole(V&M), SPSR Nellore District 	<p>The SVC examined the order's issue by the National Company law Tribunal and opined that the permission may</p>	<p>The SLC discussed the issue in detail and agreed the opinion of the SVC.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal for according per mission for amalgamation with M/s. Waterbase Limited • Furnished required documents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - (i) Format-1(A), (ii) NOC from YES Bank & ICICI Bank, (iii) National Company law Tribunal order copy and (iv) IEC Certificate from O/o the Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Hyderabad. • As per Para No: 14.1 of IDP 2015-20 <i>"Prior approval of the SLC is necessary for any merger/amalgamation of Enterprises/industries. The Public and Private Limited Companies seeking merger/amalgamation of their group of companies shall submit an application to Commissioner of Industries through GM, DIC concerned along with the necessary orders of appropriate forum under Companies Act, NOC from the Financing Institution, amended LI/IL/IEM and Incorporation Certificate from the Registrar of Companies (RoC)".</i> • As per Para No: 14.2 of IDP 2015-20 <i>"The Partnership Firm shall submit NOC from their Financing Institution and Firm Registration. The Proprietary concern shall submit NOC from the Financing Institution".</i> • SLC may take a view. 	<p>be accorded to M/s. Pinnae Feeds Ltd. for Amalgamation with Waterbase Ltd., subject to executing the agreement bond to the satisfaction of the Commercial Tax Department by both the Managements.</p>	
--	---	--

<p>1 3</p>	<p>The GM, DIC has informed that M/s. IVAX Paper Chemicals Limited, Gumpam (V), Poosapatirega (M), Vizianagaram District has filed the following claim applications and recommended to reject the proposals duly indicating the reasons.</p>	<p>The SVC examined the issue and opined that the claims may be rejected, since the proposal for the FY 2014-15 is not eligible and 2015-16 is a repeated claim.</p>	<p>The SLC examined the issue and agreed to reject the said proposals of M/s. IVAX Paper Chemicals Limited.</p>									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Claim</th> <th>Incentive / Period</th> <th>Reasons for rejection</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>C1011500 031667 dt .02.11.20 15 - Meeseva</td> <td>Sales Tax for 2014-15</td> <td>DCP is 09.03.2015, not paid any tax in March'15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meeseva on 09.03.2015</td> <td>Sales Tax for 2015-16</td> <td>Claim filed through online for the same period is sanctioned by COI</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Claim	Incentive / Period	Reasons for rejection	C1011500 031667 dt .02.11.20 15 - Meeseva	Sales Tax for 2014-15	DCP is 09.03.2015, not paid any tax in March'15	Meeseva on 09.03.2015	Sales Tax for 2015-16	Claim filed through online for the same period is sanctioned by COI		
Claim	Incentive / Period	Reasons for rejection										
C1011500 031667 dt .02.11.20 15 - Meeseva	Sales Tax for 2014-15	DCP is 09.03.2015, not paid any tax in March'15										
Meeseva on 09.03.2015	Sales Tax for 2015-16	Claim filed through online for the same period is sanctioned by COI										
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hence, the claim filed under Meeseva for reimbursement of Sales Tax for the year 2014-15 is to be rejected. Placed before the SLC for taking a view. 											

1 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M/s. Nisha Designs, Gorantla (M), Ananthapur District • Vide GO Ms No:61, dt:10/05/2016, the Govt. has considered as Mega Project under Textile & Apparel policy 2015-20 • Eligible for sanction of the IS @ 10% of PC limited to Rs.10 Crs . • Present Capital invest is Rs.25.86 Crs. • As a Mega Project is eligible Rs.2.58 Crs (@ 10%of PC) • Whereas Large Enterprises with Investment of Rs. 25 Crs to Rs. 50 Crs are eligible for @20% of Investment limited to Rs.4 Crs. • Hence as a Large it is eligible for IS of Rs.4 Crs { 20% of 25.86 = 5.18 or 4} • GO à Investment of Rs.41 Crs with generating employment to 2, 100 nos. • Other benefits – 100% Stamp duty , Transport subsidy , NALA tax reimbursement, IIDF, Water concession • Unit is requesting to consider as Large Enterprise . • SLC may take a view. 	<p>The SVC examined the issue in detailed and opined that all incentives may be sanctioned to the industry treating as Large Scale Industry as per the request of the unit, since the unit has also not fulfilled the investment criteria as per GO Ms No:61 Industries & Commerce (Programme-II) Department, dt: 10/05/2016.</p>	<p>The SLC examined the issue in detail and advised the GM, DIC, Anantapuramu District and SVC to process the proposals of M/s.Nisha Designs, Gorantla (M), Anantapuramu treating the unit as Mega Project.</p>
1 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M/s.Avanti Feeds Limited, Bandapuram (V), Devarapalli (M), West Godavari District • Working and engaging in mfg. o 	<p>The SVC observed that there is no representation from the DISCOMs and hence it is</p>	<p>The SLC discussed on the following expenditure incurred by M/s Avanti Feeds Ltd towards HT supp</p>

f shrimp feed

- **Furnished required documents and requested to sanction Rs.46,06,465/- under IIDF.**
- **Self financed unit. Fixed capital Rs.46.48 Crs.**
- **Power connection by APEPDCL on 5.5.2016 with a CMD of 1600 KVA connected loan of 3485.3 KW + 70KW+60 KVA+ 20 KW under HT category.**
- **DCP 04.08.2016**
- **The GM,DIC recommended the proposal with the approval of DIPC.**
- **Total expenditure of Rs.92,12,930/- and the DIPC has approved the proposal for sanction of Rs. 46,06,465/- under IIDF power supply**
- **The GM,DIC West Godavari Dist recommended for sanction of Rs. 46,06,465/-**
- **The expenditure eligible under IIDF comes as (15% of the total investment of Rs 46,48,34,000/-) Rs. 6,97,25,100/-**

opined to circulate the proposal to the DISCOM concerned for obtaining their **confirmation on the Expenditure incurred by the unit for drawing power supply** and remarks as the claim application is meant for power supply component only, before placing the issue in the ensuing SLC meeting.

ly for CMD of 1600 KVA duly certified by the Superintendent Engineer, Operation Circle, SPEPDCL, Eluru and considered 1-3 items since the 4th item arranged at consumer premises is not eligible as per IIDF guidelines:

S. No	Particulars	Qty	Amount
1	Erection of 33 KV bay extension	1 No.	2152997
2	Erection of 33 LV Line with 100 Sq.mm	6.5 Km	4553448

3	Erection of inline M=12 GI Towers for crossing	2 Nos	109 378 3
4	Erection of 33 KV Terminal etc.	1 No	132 147 8
Total			912 170 6
1% Cess			912 17
Gross			921 292 3

Hence, the Committee has sanctioned Rs. **39,39,115/- including cess @ 1%** (Rupees thirty nine lakhs thirty nine thousand one hundred and fifty

			en only) under II DF.
1 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> M/s. Nellimarla Jute Mills Company Limited, Nellimarla Vizianagaram was established in the year 1939 with about employment to 5000 workers. The unit has become sick and referred to the BIFR. BIFR has sanctioned a Rehabilitation Scheme Vide GO Ms.No.229, Sales Tax deferment was extended to the unit in the 1st [phase 01.01.2004 to 31.12.2008 (5 years) The unit holder represented that the word in continuation mentioned in GO Ms. No. 229 . The Sales Tax deferment extended to the unit in the first phase is from 1.1.2004 to 31.12.2008 (5 years). Out of which the unit availed sales tax deferment from 1.1.2004 to 30.8.2004 only as the Government withdrew the sales tax deferment in view of not sanctioning of the BIFR package. The Government restore the same from June 2006 onwards. Thus the unit could not availed sales tax deferment from September 2004 to May 2006 . The Govt, requested for remarks on availment of Sales tax deferment for the unclaimed period ie From September'2014 to May'2016. 	<p>The SVC examined the issue in detail and recommended to SLC for taking a decision in its meeting after hearing the views of the Unit promoters, GM,DIC and the lending Financial Institution if any.</p>	<p>The SLC observed that no direction had been issued to the Industries department regarding sanction of incentives in the Proceedings of BIFR and hence opined that the Committee has no jurisdiction to take a view.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • COI had informed that the unit is eligible for availing ST deferment for the unclaimed period and requested for the same. • Govt. had requested to furnish the information and the GM,DIC and IFCI were requested to offer their remarks. <p>IFCI (Monitoring Agency) recommend that GOAP should consider 100% deferment of Commercial Tax for further period of 5 years w.e.f. 1.1.2017. IFCI is opining that as per SICA (Sick Industrial Companies Act) guidelines the net worth of sick company shall become positive under sanctioned scheme. (Period of scheme shall not exceed 7 years.).</p> <p>However in this case even after expiry of about 10 years the net worth of the company is negative at Rs. 38 crores as on 31.3.2016.</p> <p>Government of AP requested to seek clarification from company in this regard. The quantum of relief sought by the company from Government of Andhra Pradesh may also be ascertained from the company and whether grant of the same by Government of Andhra Pradesh will lead to net worth turning positive?</p>		

17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The GM,DIC, SPSR Nellore Dist. has recommended the proposal of M/s. Louis Dreyfus Commodities India Private Limited , Muthukur (M) SPSR N 	<p>The SVC examined the issue and opined that the proposal may be rejected for sanction of financial assistance under IDF, since the power</p>	<p>The SLC agreed to the SVC decision.</p>
----	--	---	---

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>Nellore Dist filed for financial assistance under IIDF for REJECTION under 2005-10 scheme on the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1. Common sharing towards 14 KM 33 KV line, 33 KV VCB with bay extension and 0.22 KM Railway crossing • 2. The expenditure is chargeable to normal plan for the year 2011-12 of Rural Division/Nellore. • As per the operational guidelines 2005-10, "Infrastructure like roads, power and water will be provided at door step of the industry for standalone units by contributing 50% of the cost of infrastructure from IIDF with a ceiling of Rs.1.00 Crore, subject to (a) the location should be beyond 10 kms from the Original Industrial Estates/IDA"s having vacant land/shed for allotment and (b) cost of the infrastructure limited to 15% of the eligible fixed capital investment made in the industry. • This assistance would be available for all eligible Large & Medium Scale industries and Mega projects only. • No mention about whether the units sharing common infrastructure are eligible or not for availing IIDF. | <p>line is a Common sharing towards 14 KM 33 KV line, 33 KV VCB with bay extension and 0.22 KM Railway crossing and the expenditure was borne by many units.</p> |
|---|--|

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Since the above issue is a policy matter, hence, the State Level Committee may take a view for its decision and discussions. 		
18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The General Manager, District Industries Centre, Kurnool District has submitted the details of unit wise with DCP, Bank, UAM number of Sri D.Raja Kumar, Entrepreneur and his wife Smt. N. Blessina Gladis and requested to give clarification on the eligibility of multiple transport Enterprises promoted by Sri.Raj Kumar and his spouse. The details are as follows:: As per IDP 2015-20, Investment Subsidy shall be applicable to all eligible to a) new MSEs and b) Expansion/ Diversification of MSEs. The Development Commissioner (MSME), Ministry of MSME, GoI, New Delhi vide Cir.No. 5(2)/4/2015 MSME Pol. Dt. 29.09.2015, informed that the investments in Plant & Machinery/Equipments of all enterprises under same ownership shall be clubbed together while assessing the status of MSM Es as per provisions under 	<p>The SVC observed that there are four Enterprises established by the same promoter and his wife with different EM Part II Acknowledgements / UAM with financial assistance from different Institutions. As the investment of these (4) units falls under Small Enterprise, they are eligible for sanction of investment subsidy and hence opined to advise the DIPC to consider the proposals as (4) individual Enterprises and sanction the incentives, as per the policy applicable.</p>	<p>The SLC examined all Enterprises promoted by Sri.Raj Kumar and his wife individually as per their DCPs in phased manner with assistance from different Financial Institutions. The following have been noticed by the SLC::</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The promoter has established the Enterprises in phased manner – 1st phase -A1(02.05.2014); 2nd phase -C1(16.06.2014) and 3rd phase - A2(15.11.2014) and got incentives to a tune of Rs. 47,99,810/- as against ceiling limit of Rs. 50,00,000/- under IIPP 2010-15 as it continued in Small category status with the clubbing investments. Then the promoter establishe

the MSMED Act, 2006.

- Whereas through circular no. F.No. 5(2)/4/2015-**MSME Pol. Dt. 03.03.2016** the above directions had been withdrawn.
- **The SLC may take a view.**

d 4th phase -B1(24.02.2016), 5th phase - C2 (25.06.2016) in **IDP 2015-20** and as it continued in the Small Enterprise category, it is eligible for availing the incentives of **Rs.15,92,804/- and Rs.25,42,400/- respectively.**

- The 6th phase (25.10.2016) and the 7th phase (01.07.2017) are not eligible as the unit elevated to Medium Enterprise with these investments added to 4th and 5th phase of investments. Hence it is not eligible for availing incentives.

- The 1st phase -D1 (30.09.2015) and 2nd phase D-2 (04.07.2016) promoted by his spouse are eligible for availing **Rs.11,20,950/- and Rs.16,33,500/-** respectively, treating as individual unit.

			<p>o In view of the above, the SL C advised the DIPC to process the proposals for sanction / release the incentives Rs.15,92,804/- and Rs.25,42,400/- respectively.</p> <p>(may vary due to the working condition of units) for the enterprises commenced in 4th phase and 5th phase promoted by Sri.Raj Kumar and Rs.11,20,950/- and Rs.16,33,500/- respectively 1st phase and 2nd phase Enterprises promoted by his spouse, excluding the amount of Rs.47,99,810/-, released earlier.</p>
<p>19</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarification sought by the GM,DIC, West Godavari District • M/s. Amarthala Sasikanth, Sanigudem, Denduluru (M) • Line of activity "Earth movers". 	<p>The SVC examined the issue in detail and opined that incentives may be sanctioned for the Enterprises assisted by the Non Banking Financial Institution which are charging the rate of interest in the range, as mentioned in the IDP policy</p>	<p>The SLC examined the issue and opined that the DIPC may sanction the incentives to the Enterprises assisted by the Non Banking Financial Institutions prudently ensuring that the PLR rate charged is as per</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assisted by Magma Fincorp Ltd, which doesn't any PLR/BPLR/MCLR and they were charging interest rate to the borrowers based on Magma reference rate . • Unit filed claim for sanction of Investment Subsidy • DIPC Meeting held on 30/05/2018 à Rejected since the unit holder • Reason à Not furnishing the certificate for MCLR (Marginally cost based lending rate) and the rate of interest charged to the unit from the BM, Magma Fincorp Ltd., Bhimavaram to decide the eligibility of the Incentives. • (GM - Rate of Interest 12.51% and Flat rate 7.28%) • As per Para No: 6.4.12 of Operational guidelines of IDP 2015-20 policy, it is mentioned that "in respect of Enterprise availing term loan from Non Banking Financial Institution shall be PLR+/-2%. • The SLC may take a view. 	<p>ie. PLR+/-2%.</p>	<p>r the IDP policy</p>
<p>20</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarification sought by the GM,DIC, East Godavari District • M/s. Venkateswara Travel 	<p>The SVC observed that there is no difference in the eligible amount as the unit has filed application on 30.09.2015,</p>	<p>The SLC examined the issue in detail and advised the DIPCs to consider the date of permit as the Da</p>

<p>s, Magatapalli(V), Ma midikuduru(M) promoted by SC Entrepreneur</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purchased 2 transport vehicles i.e Innova 2.5Z(E3) + Maruti Swift Desire ZDI BS IV • Investment Rs.28.16 lakhs. • Financed with an investment of Rs.21.00 lakhs by SBI, Razole. • Filed application under II PP 2010-15. • Date of Registration with RTA :: 31/03/2015; • Date of 1st service bill, raised by promoter :: 31/03/2015. • Date of issue of permit :: 01/04/2015 • The date of filing of application :: 30/09/2015; • Last date for availing 100 % eligible subsidy :: 6 months from DCP (both cases eligible) • Inspecting officer doubt - owner of the vehicle is not permitted to operate the vehicle in public places without a valid permit and to clarify the DCP date 	<p>within (6) months from the date of registration (31.03.2015) as well as date of permit (01.04.2015). But to avoid such confusion in sanctioning of future claims the SVC opined to consider the date of registration or date of permit whichever is later as the DCP of the vehicles.</p>	<p>date of Commencement of Production of the Enterprises.</p>
---	---	--

- The DIPC à instructed to seek clarification on the DCP from the COI
- The COI's earlier circular dt 4/10/2012à **date of registration by RTA as the DCP**

	IIPP 2010-15 (31.03.15)	IDP 2015-20 (01.04.15)
--	--------------------------------	-------------------------------

Project cost	Rs.28.16 Lakhs
--------------	----------------

Limit on cost	No limit	Rs.15 lakhs per vehicle
---------------	----------	-------------------------

Eligible subsidy	28.16X35%= Rs.9.85 Lakhs	28.16X35% = Rs.9.85 Lakhs
------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------

The SLC may take a view.

2
1

The SLC observed that this is a case of **appeal received** from the e

Name and Location of the enterprise	M CCos/s.Renuka Community Hall, Tadepalligudem, West Godavari District	ntrepreneur in accordance to the AP-PSGDA Public Services Delivery Guarantee Act- 2017 dated 04.01.2018. The SLC examined the report submitted by the Committee constituted by COI and decided to direct the DIPC, West Godavari district to consider the cost of doors, plastic emulsion painting, overhead tank, Sanitation, stair case railing, Electrification etc in computation of eligible Investment Subsidy for an amount of Rs.32, 26,139/-, as recommended by the Central Team subject to production of bills which are not furnished to the Inspection team of DIC on 30.07.2016.
Line of activity	Function Hall	
Financial Institution	APSFC, Eluru	
Project cost	Rs.112.81 Lakhs (original - 12.03.2010) Rs.135.50 Lakhs (Revised dt. 31.01.2012) Rs.146.00 Lakhs (Revised dt.29.03.2016)	
Construction commenced	2012 à applicable rates - APSFC dt. 11.02.2013	
DCP	17.02.2016	
Advancement subsidy	Rs.28,65,000/-	

Incentive recommendation details	DIC Officers	Central team	DIPC
Date of report	30.07.2016	05.02.2018	25.05.2018
Fixed cost recommended	Rs.71,37,726/-	Rs.92,17,571/-	Rs.80,10,695/-
Investment subsidy	Rs.24,98,204/-	Rs.32,26,139/-	Rs.28,21,609/-

	As per rates of APSFC -13	As per rates of APSFC -15	As per rates of APSFC -13
Reasons for variations	Building - semi finished, Lift not installed, Not considered the cost of Doors & plastic emulsion painting, overhead tank and Sanitation, stair case railing Electrification (Operated with Hire Generator)	Cost of doors, terrace, emulsion painting, Overhead tank, Sanitation costs are taken as per the certificate issued by APSFC	Not considered the cost of Doors & plastic emulsion painting, overhead tank and Sanitation, stair case railing Electrification stating that Bills are not submitted and as on Inspection date the works are not completed.
2 2	The SLC may take a view. Agenda:: Request of the Enterprise.		The SLC observed that there is no restriction on the manufacturing activities promoted by SC/ST entrepreneurs for becoming eligible under IDP 2015-20. But as the AP Food

Name and location	M/s. Pavan Sai Rice Mill, Sy No: 59, Bhanumukkala(V), Banaganapalle(M), Kurnool District	<p>Processing Society has informed that at the rice mills are not eligible for availing incentives in AP Food Processing Policy 2015-20, it is decided to seek clarification from the Government to decide the Nodal agency for processing of all types of Food processing units promoted by SC/ST entrepreneurs in order to ensure the process of incentive claims in an effective and efficient manner without any ambiguity.</p>
Line of activity	Rice Mill	
Promoter	Smt.E.Yellamma	
Category	ST (Woman)	
Investment	Rs.	
Investment Subsidy	Rs.46,03,320/-	
Status	Sanctioned by SLC on 10.03.2016 and to be released	
Issue	In the GMs' conference held on 11.05.2018, it is informed that all the claims pertaining to Food Processing units will be processed by the AP Food Processing Society.	

	Remarks of A PFPS dt. 18.0	AP Food Processing Society has informed that they are unable to s	
2 3	6.2018	anction Investment subsidy to the rice mills as the line of activity is in the ineligible list of AP F Food Processing policy 2015-20.	The SLC examined the issue in detail and opined that M/s.Siri Cold Storage is eligible for availing both incentives offered by the State and Central Government subject to condition that the unit exists in Micro or Small Enterprise category only and the total Investment Subsidy including the subsidies of State Government and Central Government together will be limited to 75% of the investment of a particular component taken into consideration.
	Agenda :: Request of the GM,DIC, Guntur District (Lr.No.Misc/C/2018 dt.13.07.2018) The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.		
	Name and location of the unit	M/s.Siri Cold Storage, Varagani (V), Pedanandipadu (M), Guntur District	
	Line of activity	Cold Storage	
	Investment on FC	Rs.6,40,11,000/-	
	Subsidy eligibility	(i) Rs.1,25,00,000/- under National Horticulture Mission/ Board Scheme (ii) Rs. 20,00,000/- under ID P 2015-20	

<p>Issue</p>	<p>Whether the unit can avail both incentives</p>	
<p>Extract of relevant para (5.1.3) of IDP</p>	<p>The total Investment Subsidy including the subsidies of State Government and Central Government together will be limited to 75% of the investment of a particular component taken into consideration.</p>	
<p>The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.</p>		
<p>2 4</p>	<p>Agenda :: Request of the GM,DIC, Guntur District (Lr.No.Misc/C/2018 dt.09.07.2018)</p>	
<p>Issue</p>	<p>Extract of IDP 2015-20</p>	<p>The SLC examined the issue and opined that the working capital component shall not be included in the Project cost while computation for the purpose of deciding an Aided unit.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarification on consideration of working capital component while computing the Project Cost. • More than of the 40% of the PC aided unit will be considered as an Aided unit. • Less than 40% à Self Financed unit 	<p>“Approved Project Cost means the cost of the project on different components as approved by the term loan lending institution or in case of joint financing by the lead term loan lending institution. The appraised project cost by Scheduled Commercial Banks / Financial Institutions registered by RBI for the purpose of sanction of term loan would be treated as approved project cost. However, the component of working capital margin will not be considered for computing investment subsidy for MSEs”</p>
<p>The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.</p>	

2 5	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="231 271 408 488">Name and location of the unit</td> <td data-bbox="408 271 1048 488">M/s.Sri Kasi Viswanatha Garments, D.No.3/15-1, Gannevaripalli (V), Tadipatri (M), Anantapuramu district</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="231 488 408 678">Line of activity</td> <td data-bbox="408 488 1048 678">Readymade Garments</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="231 678 408 824">DCP</td> <td data-bbox="408 678 1048 824">26.10.2016 -</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="231 824 408 1200">Issue</td> <td data-bbox="408 824 1048 1200">Initially the unit worked for 21/2 months and met with Fire accident, Stocks and Fixed Assets were damaged and closed for 11/2 years, Unit will restart after their Insurance claims are settled, Clarification on consider to process or reject.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="231 1200 408 1496">Supporting documents</td> <td data-bbox="408 1200 1048 1496">Police complaint, FIR, Electrical Inspectorate certificate showing the cause of fire accident, MRO certificate, Fire Officer certificate, National Insurance Company certificate</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="231 1496 408 1720">IDP 2015-20</td> <td data-bbox="408 1496 1048 1720">There is mention about such type of sanctioning of incentives at the time of closure of an unit.</td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="231 1760 1054 1832">The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.</p>	Name and location of the unit	M/s.Sri Kasi Viswanatha Garments, D.No.3/15-1, Gannevaripalli (V), Tadipatri (M), Anantapuramu district	Line of activity	Readymade Garments	DCP	26.10.2016 -	Issue	Initially the unit worked for 21/2 months and met with Fire accident, Stocks and Fixed Assets were damaged and closed for 11/2 years, Unit will restart after their Insurance claims are settled, Clarification on consider to process or reject.	Supporting documents	Police complaint, FIR, Electrical Inspectorate certificate showing the cause of fire accident, MRO certificate, Fire Officer certificate, National Insurance Company certificate	IDP 2015-20	There is mention about such type of sanctioning of incentives at the time of closure of an unit.	The SLC examined the issue and referred to SVC to go through in detail duly assessing the evidences produced by the promoter.
Name and location of the unit	M/s.Sri Kasi Viswanatha Garments, D.No.3/15-1, Gannevaripalli (V), Tadipatri (M), Anantapuramu district													
Line of activity	Readymade Garments													
DCP	26.10.2016 -													
Issue	Initially the unit worked for 21/2 months and met with Fire accident, Stocks and Fixed Assets were damaged and closed for 11/2 years, Unit will restart after their Insurance claims are settled, Clarification on consider to process or reject.													
Supporting documents	Police complaint, FIR, Electrical Inspectorate certificate showing the cause of fire accident, MRO certificate, Fire Officer certificate, National Insurance Company certificate													
IDP 2015-20	There is mention about such type of sanctioning of incentives at the time of closure of an unit.													
2 6		The SLC examined the issue and observed that cold storage is eligible for availing in												

Agenda :: Request of the GM,DIC, Kurnool district

Name and location	M/s.Akshaya Cold Storage (Solar Power Plant), Gargeyapuram (V), Kurnool (M), Kurnool District
Line of activity	Servicing Enterprise with Cold Storage facility
Issue	The unit has filed claim for sanction of Investment Subsidy under National Horticulture Mission and Power and Interest subsidy with Industries department
Clarification sought	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cold storage can be considered as Industrial activity or Servicing activity 2. If it is considered as Service, is it eligible for availing incentives under Swachch Andhra

centives under I DP, as per the **LIST OF INELIGIBLE INDUSTRIES of SI No. 22. ("Servicing and /or repairing units' activities except Cold storage and Seed processing units")**

<p>IDP 2015-20 policy</p>	<p>(5.10.1) All eligible Industrial Enterprises shall submit their claims in the prescribed application form given at Annexure - XI for subsidy on specific cleaner production measures</p>	
	<p>Servicing and /or repairing units activities except Cold storage and Seed processing units are ineligible for availing incentives.</p>	
<p>27</p>		
<p>The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.</p> <p>Agenda :: Request of the GM,DIC, Kurnool district</p>		<p>The SLC examined the issue in detail and decided that the unit is eligible for availing incentives as it is engaged in Bamboo plywood medium density fibre wood products.</p>
<p>Name and location</p>	<p>M/s.Om Sri Umamalleswara Bamboo Ply Medium Density Fibre Wood Products, Sy.No.256, Julepalli (V), Gospadu (M), Kurnool Dist.</p>	
<p>Line of activity</p>	<p>Bamboo Ply wood Medium Density Fibre Wood products</p>	

Investment	<p style="text-align: center;">Rs.2.00 Crs Employment :: 40 Nos.</p>
Status of the unit	<p>Land acquired, Invested Rs.30 Lakhs, DPR submitted to Union Bank, Nandyal. The BM is insisting a letter from DIC stating that the unit is eligible for availing Incentives</p>
Clarification sought	<p>Whether the activity is eligible for availing incentives</p>
IDP 2015-20 policy	<p>All types of Saw-mills, except Medium Density Fibre Board(MDF), Particle Board (PB) and furniture made of MDF and PB are ineligible.</p> <p>Mega project proposed by M/s. Greenply Industries Ltd. For its Greenfield MDF plant was sanctioned tailor made benefits –GO Ms.No.15 dated 23.08.2016 – Invt:: Rs.758.15 crs, Emp:: 225 direct + 350 indirect</p>

The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.

2
8

Agenda :: Directions of the Govt. (Memo No .5541/P&I/A1/2018 dated 21.05.2018

Name and location of Unit	M/s.Palnadu Solar Power Pvt. Ltd., Inumella (V), Ipur (M), Guntur District
Line of activity	Solar Power Project – 5 MW – Supplying power to APTRANSCO
DCP	31.03.2016
Promoter	SC Woman
Fin.assistance	1. Rs.12.80 Crs – Syndicate Bank and (ii) Rs.15 Crs – IFCI, GoI

The SLC observed that under IDP 2015-20, only Micro and Small Enterprises, promoted by SC/ST entrepreneurs are eligible for availing Investment Subsidy and hence it is decided to **obtain permission from the Government to process the proposal of M/s. Palnadu Solar Power Private Limited**, Guntur district for sanction of Investment subsidy.

Request of unit	To condone the delay and to permit her to avail incentives	
Directions of the Govt.	Govt. vide memo –condoned the delay and permission granted to enter the details for sanction of incentives	
IDP 2015-20 policy	Special Category MSEs including Automobile, Biotechnology Inds. are eligible for Investment subsidy.	
	5.10.3.c) Use of renewable source of power for captive consumption (solar, wind and biomass plants etc.)	
The GM was requested to furnish compliance report on 06.06.2018, reminded on 02.08.2018, 18.08.18 and 28.08.18 but no response has been received.		
The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.		
29		The SLC decided to direct the GM, DIC to forward the proposals to the Department of IT & Electronics,

Agenda :: Request of the GM,DIC, Chittoor district		as the activity falls under electronics category.
Name and location	M/s.Dixon Technologies (India) Limited and M/s.Ail Dixon Technologies Private Limited, M/s.Karbon etc. Electronic Industries	
Line of activity	IT and Electronic Industries	
Issue	Units have filed applications through online with Industries department	
Clarification sought	To process or not as the proposal pertains to Department of IT & Electronics	
The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.		
30		The SLC examined the issue in d

Agenda :: Request of the GM,DIC, Kurnool district	
Name and Location	M/s.Shrivi Green Energy , Chetlamma Ilapuram (V), Kallur (M), Kurnool Dist.
Line of activity	Light diesel oil, carbon briquettes (Fuel energy) by pyrolosis of plastic waste
Issue	Units have filed applications through online with Industries department
Request	promoted to reduce plastic pollution and to solve plastic menace
IDP 2015-20	Tyre pyrolosis is not eligible for availing incentives.

etail and decided that M/s.Shrivi Green Energy, Kurnool District is **eligible for availing incentives** as it is engaged plastic paralysis.

<p>The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.</p>									
<p>3 1</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="229 577 1038 1731"> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="229 577 1038 723"> <p>Agenda :: Request of the Company</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="229 723 395 1055"> <p>Name of unit</p> </td> <td data-bbox="395 723 1038 1055"> <p>M/s.Ultratech Cement Limited, Bhogasamudram (V), Tadipatri (M), Anantapur Dist.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="229 1055 395 1386"> <p>Line of activity</p> </td> <td data-bbox="395 1055 1038 1386"> <p>Cement Plant – Waste Heat recovery system -16MW Captive power generation</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="229 1386 395 1731"> <p>Investment</p> </td> <td data-bbox="395 1386 1038 1731"> <p>Rs.111.45 Crs</p> </td> </tr> </table>	<p>Agenda :: Request of the Company</p>		<p>Name of unit</p>	<p>M/s.Ultratech Cement Limited, Bhogasamudram (V), Tadipatri (M), Anantapur Dist.</p>	<p>Line of activity</p>	<p>Cement Plant – Waste Heat recovery system -16MW Captive power generation</p>	<p>Investment</p>	<p>Rs.111.45 Crs</p>	<p>The SLC observed that the process of power generation from waste heat gases falls under Red category as per the classification of APPCB and as the activity has not been included in the list of green measures, as per para no. (5.10.3) of IDP 2015-20, the captive power generation plant proposed by M/s. Ultratech Cement Limited, Bhogasamudram (V), Tadipatri (M), Anantapur District is not eligible to availing incentives under Swatch Andhra.</p>
<p>Agenda :: Request of the Company</p>									
<p>Name of unit</p>	<p>M/s.Ultratech Cement Limited, Bhogasamudram (V), Tadipatri (M), Anantapur Dist.</p>								
<p>Line of activity</p>	<p>Cement Plant – Waste Heat recovery system -16MW Captive power generation</p>								
<p>Investment</p>	<p>Rs.111.45 Crs</p>								

Issue	Investment subsidy to Waste Heat at recovery plant under Swachch Andhra
Remarks of APPCB	in the similar case of M/s Philips Carbon Black Ltd(PCBL), JCEE, APPCB has issued clarification that the power generated from waste heat gases comes under Red category. Hence, the process can not be considered under cleaner production measures and sustainable green measures.

IDP 2015 -20	<p>5.10.3 GoAP will provide 25% subsidy of total Fixed Capital Investment of the project (excluding cost of land, land development, preliminary and pre-operative expenses and consultancy fees) for below mentioned green measures with a ceiling of Rs. 50 crore for all types of industries.</p> <p>a) Waste water treatment: Constructing effluent treatment plant and sewage treatment plant and using recycled water for industrial purposes especially zero discharge systems.</p> <p>b) Green Buildings: Buildings which obtain green rating under the Indian Green Building Council (IGBC/LEED Certification) or Green Rating for Integrated Habitat Assessment (GRIHA) systems.</p> <p>c) Use of renewable source of power for captive consumption (solar, wind and biomass plants etc.)</p> <p>d) Installing Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS) for red category industries. The information should be disseminated continuously to APPCB.</p> <p>e) Adopting rain water harvesting; restoring water bodies by de-silting defunct water bodies.</p> <p>f) Any other environment management project approved by Empowered Committee of Secretaries.</p>
-----------------	---

3			The SLC examined and differed , since the representation of AP AIDS Control Society has not attended the Meeting.
2	Agenda :: Govt. Memo on Implementing Comprehensive program on HIV/AIDS prevention in large Industries (No.3097/Coordm/A2/2018 dated 04.05.2018)		
Directions	Govt. requested the COI to organise a workshop on STI/HIV/AIDS prevention and care treatment in respect of Large and Mega Projects with mutually convenient date of AIDS Control Society		
Intimation	Intimation has been sent through mail and by phone to the Joint Director concerned to attend the SLC meeting as per the instructions of the COI		
The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.			

3			
3	<p>Agenda :: Govt. directions – feedback on the necessity of Inspections and proposal of 3rd party Inspections</p>		
	East Godavari	The GM, DICs East Godavari has convened a meeting with (42) Industries and DIC officials on 29.08.2018. It is reported that the promoters (42) Units have erroneously stated that (i) Inspections are not required at the time of disbursement and (ii) 3 rd party verification is not required.	The SLC observed the feedback received from the GM, DICs of East Godavari, Krishna, Kurnool and Srikakulam, districts and opined that inspections are required in order to ensure that the sanctioned incentives are being released to the working units only and engaged in the same activity for which it was sanctioned. Further it is opined that the 3 rd party inspections are not trustworthy because they are not held accountable.
	Srikakulam	Existing is better than the proposed due to (i) non accountability of 3 rd party agencies, (ii) further delay in disbursement as they experienced in PMEGP scheme and Food Processing Scheme.	Hence the SLC requested the COI to request the Government to continue with the present system.
	The issue is placed before the SLC for taking a decision.		

3 4	G.O. details	Scheme	Financial assistance	<p>The Chairman of SLC has briefed the operational guidelines issued by the Government for implementation of new schemes for the benefit of SC/ST entrepreneurs and all members are requested to give wide publicity/ awareness.</p>
No.85 dt. 20.12.2016	Reimbursement of course fee for Contractor Development Programme	Rs.1.00 Lakh per head		
No.84 dt. 20.07.2018	EDP and Skill Development Programme	---		
	G.O.Ms.No .86 dt. 20.07.2018	Reimbursement of course fee for professional certificate courses Scheme	Rs.3.00 Lakh per head to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PG Diploma in Entrepreneurship, • PG Diploma in Management, • MBA • Diploma in Entrepreneurship/ Management 	
3 5				<p>The SLC noticed that (9) proposals are pending for submission of ins</p>

District	JD (MSME)			Grand Total
	Inspection Completed	Pending for Inspection	Total	
Anantapur				4
Chittoor				18
East Godavari		3	3	3
Guntur		3	3	3
Krishna		1	1	1
Kurnool		1	1	1
Nellore		1	1	3
Srikakulam		1	1	1

pection reports and (46) are pending for inspection by the Standing Scrutiny Committees. As these proposals are pending since long time, the SLC advised the COI to take necessary action for **obtaining the documents required for inspection of SSC** and place the same before the State Level SVC Meetings for due verification of the same and direct the SSCs to inspect and submit the reports in a month.

Visakhapatnam		12	12	15	
Vizianagaram		1	1	1	
West Godavari	1	3	4	4	
YSR District				1	
Total	1	26	27	55	
36	Employment details and CSR activities uploaded				The SLC observed that only (120) Enterprises have uploaded the details of their (38,569) employees and (93) photos pertaining to the CSR activities taken by their managements.
	District	No.of Units	No. of furnished	No.of CSR activities	

Guntur	44	13,895	13
Krishna	23	5,603	65
West Godavari	21	5,281	5
Prakasam	10	4,985	1
S.P.S Nellore	9	2,933	5
Visakhapatnam	4	798	3

Kadapa	3	1,954	
East Godavari	2	437	1
Kurnool	2	1,465	
Anantapur	1	581	
Vizianagaram	1	637	
Total	120	38,569	93

--	--

37 The SLC has reviewed the Claim applications received for sanction / rejection of various incentives under IIPP – 2005-10, IIPP 2010-15 & IDP 2015-20, scrutinised & recommended by the SVC in its Meeting held on 13/04/2018, 31/05/2018 & 14/08/2018. The details of claims recommended and differed by the SLC are as follows::

A B S T R A C T						
Type of Incentives	No. of claims	Amount Recommended by SVC in Rs.	Deferred by SLC		Sanctioned by SLC	
			No. of claims	Amount in Rs.	No. of claims	Amount in Rs.
Interest Subsidy	48	347246811	20	213320866	28	133925945
Power cost	186	618242278	49	261447242	137	356795036
Sales Tax	19	319195814	7	159673702	12	159522112
Stamp Duty	6	17330921	0	0	6	17330921

Misc	4	542180	1	500000	3	42180
Total	263	13025580 04	77	63494181 0	186	667616 194
Total Rs. in es	cror	130.25		63.49		66.76

- The SLC has sanctioned 186 cases for an amount of Rs 66.76 Crores (List appended as Annexure – I)
- The SLC observed variations between the amounts recommended by the GM, DICs and amounts computed at COI. Hence deferred (77) cases (List appended as Annexure –II) and advised to re-verify and reconcile with the concerned General Managers and place before next SLC through SVC for taking a view.

The SLC observed that all the above claims are filed in time as per the guidelines of respective policies i.e IIPP 2005-10, IIPP 2010-15, IDP 2015-20 and the extended policy issued vide G.O. Ms. No. 168, Industries &Commerce (Prog-II) Department, dt.30/11/2016.

**COMMISSIONER OF INDUSTRIES
& CHAIRMAN OF SLC.**